

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

GALVESTON DIVISION

DAVID A. WILLIAMSON	§	
	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. G-03-990
	§	
THALES GEOSOLUTIONS, INC., ET AL.	§	

OPINION AND ORDER

Before the Court is a Report and Recommendation from the United States Magistrate Judge which, *inter alia*, recommends the denial of the Motion of Plaintiff, David A. Williamson, seeking to reopen this case which was settled by Williamson on February 2, 2005. Despite a February 9, 2007, deadline, Williamson has filed no objections to the recommendation.

This Court, after *de novo* review under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), finds that the Report and Recommendation is a well reasoned and proper analysis of the facts surrounding the settlement of this litigation and it is, therefore, **ACCEPTED** by this Court in its entirety and incorporated by reference herein.


It is, therefore, the **ORDER** of this Court that the converted “ Motion for Relief from Judgment” (Instrument no. 40) of Plaintiff, David A. Williamson, is **DENIED**.

This Court also agrees with the Magistrate Judge that Williamson’ s efforts to reopen this case were vexatious and that R. Edward Blanchard, the attorney for Defendant, **SHALL** recover his resultant expenses.

It is, therefore, the further **ORDER** of this Court that David A. Williamson **SHALL** pay to R. Edward Blanchard the sum of **\$402.54** to reimburse Blanchard for the expenses he incurred for attending the Hearing on Williamson’ s meritless Motion.

Finally, the Court also agrees with the Magistrate Judge that this is not the proper forum for declaring Williamson' s wife, Karen Williamson, a vexatious litigator under Chapter 11 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code and, therefore, the Motion (Instrument no. 42) of Williamson' s former attorney, Thomas J. Mosele, to do so is respectfully **DENIED**.

DONE at Galveston, Texas, this 2nd day of March, 2007.



Samuel B. Kent
United States District Judge